#### Key words: Semantics, Mandarin, dou, QUD, homogeneity removal

## Why the additional *dou*?

Mandarin universal term  $mei \approx \text{English every}$ .

Mei-dou co-occurrence puzzle mei-NPs in pre-verbal positions must co-occur with dou; no such constraint exists in English.

- Every child (\*all) went to the park. (1)
- mei-ge haizi dou qu-le gongyuan (2) mei-cl child DOU go-ASP park 'Every child went to the park.'

This 'constraint' is very loose and with many exceptions[1].

- haizi hua-le yi-fu-hua (3)a. mei-ge every-CL child draw-ASP one-cl-picture 'Every child drew one picture.'
  - b. mei-ge haizi (dou) hua-le yi-fu-hua every-CL child DOU draw-ASP one-CL-picture 'Every child drew one picture.'

Previous accounts have treated (3a) and (3b) as semantically equivalent except for Liu (2021)[2]

## dou reflects QUDs

Liu (2021): The use of dou is licensed when the universal statement is relevant under the QUD.

## Acknowledgements

This project is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - GRK2636.



Form-meaning mismatches



DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft German Research Foundatio

# mei-dou puzzle and homogeneity

Zeqi Zhao

Univeristy of Göttingen

ConSOLE32, London 2024

# QUD 1

(4a) At a secondhand bookstore, the bookstore owner said: 'Our store is having a big sale,	(4 ne
mei-ben shu mai 10 yuan mei-CL book 10 yuan	br
'Every book is 10 yuan.'	

QUD: How much is every book?

# (Non)-homogeneous contexts

Under QUD1, every book presumably costs the same; Under QUD2 the opposite.

# *Dou* as homogeneity remover

#### Motivations:

• dou removes non-maximality.

 $oldsymbol{0} oldsymbol{0} dou gives rise to distributivity.$ 

Notably, homogeneity disappears when dou is inserted.

haizi-men chi-le yi-ge pinguo ma (5)eat-ASP 1-cl apple SFP kid-pl 'Did the kids eat 1 apple?.'

With a negative answer: 'No, this is not the case.'  $\rightsquigarrow$  None of the kids ate 1 apple.

haizi-men dou chi-le yi-ge pinguo ma (6)DOU eat-ASP 1-cl apple SFP kid-pl 'Did the kids all eat 1 apple?.'

With a negative answer: 'No, this is not the case.'  $\rightsquigarrow$  At least one kid didn't eat 1 apple.

Surprisingly, *mei* doesn't remove homogeneity.

mei-ben-shu 10 yuan ma (7)mei-cl-book 10 yuan SFP 'Every book 10 yuan?'

With a negative answer: 'No, this is not the case.'  $\rightsquigarrow$  None of the books cost 10 yuan.





## $\mathbf{QUD2}$

4b) At a secondhand bookstore, John saw a brandew book. John asked the owner: "This one looks rand-new. Is it also 10 dollars?" The owner:

> mei-ben shu dou 10 yuan mei-CL book DOU 10 yuan 'EVERY book is 10 yuan.'

#### QUD: Are the books all 10 yuan?

## My idea

#### Assumptions

- $\llbracket 1 \text{ cl-book} \rrbracket^C = \{a, b, c\};$
- mei picks out a cover whose cells are sets of 1 book:  $\text{[mei 1 cl-book]}^C = \text{[Cov 1 cl-book]}^C =$  $\{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\};\$
- Homogeneity is a fundamental property of predicates supplied by DIST[3]; dou and DISTare in competition for the one slot at LF;
- (8)DIST(P)(a)**true** iff  $\forall a' \leq a : P(a') = 1$ **false** iff  $\forall a' \leq a : P(a') = 0$ undef. otherwise
- Dou(P)(a)(9) true iff  $\forall a' \leq a : P(a') = 1$ **false** iff  $\neg \forall a' \leq a : P(a') = 1$ undef. never

### A licensing condition on dou

dou is only licensed by non-homogeneous contexts.





Und
Ever
same
$\implies$

	$\mathcal{U}$
star	](
(10)	8 ]
	,
• Do	U
(11)	Z

zhangsan mei-tian (\*dou) pingjun sanbu yi xiaoshi zhangsan mei-day DOU averagely walk 1 hour 'Zhangsan walks averagely 1 hour per day.'

#### **One possible formalization**

Following Gajewski's (2005) presuppositional view on homogeneity:  $\llbracket DIST \rrbracket = \lambda P_{et}. \ \lambda a_e:$ 

 $\forall x[x \leq a \rightarrow P(x)] \lor \forall x[x \leq a \rightarrow \neg P(x)]. \ \forall x[x \leq a \rightarrow P(x)]$ 

 $[DOU] = \lambda P_{et} \cdot \lambda a_e.$  $\forall x [x \leq a \rightarrow P(x)]$ Implicated presupposition: Non-homogeneity

> der QUD2: book presumably doesn't cost the ; DIST's presupposition is not satisfied. DOU(P)(a)

## This can also explain why:

must be absent when *mei*-NP describes a dard unit of measurement.

guang mei-miao (\*dou) chuanbo san-shi-wan qianmi light mei-second DOU travel 3000000 km 'Light travels 300000km every second.'

doesn't co-occur with *pingjun* 'averagely'.

#### References

Shi-Zhe Huang. Quantification and predication in Mandarin Chinese: A case study of dou. University of Pennsylvania, 1996.

Mingming Liu. A pragmatic explanation of the mei-dou co-occurrence in mandarin. Journal of East Asian Linguistics, 30:277–316, 2021.

Jon Gajewski. Neg-raising: Polarity and presupposition: Mit dissertation, 2005.

Danny Fox. Free choice and the theory of scalar implicatures. In *Presupposition and implicature in compositional semantics*, pages 71–120. Springer, 2007.

Luka Crnic. On the meaning and distribution of concessive scalar particles. In Proceedings of NELS, volume 41, pages 1–14. Citeseer, 2011.

Manuel Križ. Homogeneity effects in natural language semantics. Language and *Linguistics Compass*, 13(11):e12350, 2019.